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The German Federal Government’s Support of Independent Archives of the civil rights movement in the former GDR

Whereas the 1990 Unification Treaty clarified how to dispose of the records of both state and local organizations and institutions, for legal reasons the question of how to manage the surviving documentation of that era remained unresolved until the establishment of a new entity – the Foundation Archive of the Parties and Mass Organizations of the GDR – in 1992. As regards the documents of the East German state security service, however, yet another approach was taken. Although there could have been no doubt as to the proper legal jurisdiction of the German Federal Archives (and its counterparts at the state level), political will at that time led to the establishment, in 1991, of a separate archive with an indefinite life span. The aim of this special archive was to ensure each citizen’s unfettered access to his or her Stasi file. This official policy led to the (surely unintended) consequence that the “Shield and Sword of the Party,” the Stasi, was ascribed elevated importance vis-à-vis other centers of power within the former GDR.

As an almost tragic consequence of this decision, the question of how to safeguard the documentary record of East Germany’s opposition was by comparison neglected, and this despite the fact that an Enquete Commission of the German parliament – charged during the 1990s with the investigation of the East German past – had explicitly analyzed the situation of opposition archives. As Hans Michael Kloth has noted, “for the scholarly investigation of East German history in general, and for the investigation of the history of opposition to the SED dictatorship in particular, the testimonies offered by opposition leaders represent an indispensable resource. These records are the only authentic documentation of independent thought and actions conveyed to us from the time of the SED dictatorship. For this reason alone, they are of special historical value. In addition, they provide a vital corrective to the official documentation left to us by the SED state. Through their conservation and widest possible use, opposition archives offer the basis from which we can avoid for ensuing ages distortions of GDR history.”

1 This article is an updated version of my presentation to the 2007 annual meeting of German archivists.

What needs to be kept in mind in this regard is the disproportionate volume of opposition to official GDR documentation. Because of the adverse conditions faced by the East German opposition, the volume of extant materials most probably does not exceed the single-digit kilometer range.

In the aftermath of the peaceful revolution of 1989, the independent archives of the East German civil rights movement found themselves reliant upon the private commitment of individuals who, for the most part, had been active in the East German opposition. These individuals were repeatedly compelled to submit to a diverse range of funders, project-specific requests for support; often enough, funds were only made available for brief periods of time. This process was further complicated by the fact that the individuals maintaining these new collections regarded their position as different from that of traditional archivists. In his expert report to the Enquete Commission, Kloth described the problem in the following terms: “the ‘opposition archives’ do not see themselves merely as suppliers of documents to researchers. Rather, they see themselves as part of a larger coming to terms with the communist past within society as a whole. Typically, in addition to making documents available to scholars, journalists, and others, these archivists consider other objectives as a natural part of their mission. These activities include recording oral history testimony, political education, independent research, as well as counseling and lobbying on behalf of the victims of the communist regime. In certain instances, their work extends to the advancement of a political agenda as well.” Despite various efforts, the hope fostered at that time by the independent archives – that their initiatives would receive direct institutional support from the state – failed to materialize.

Between 1992 and 1998, two Enquete Commissions of the German parliament investigated the origins, history, and consequences of the East German communist dictatorship; the second of these commissions recommended that the German parliament establish a federal foundation to reappraise the SED dictatorship. In accordance with the law passed on June 5, 1998, our Foundation was established to promote a complete reappraisal of the causes, history, and impact of dictatorship in the
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2 Kloth, Unabhängige Archive und Materialien der Bürgerbewegungen, 925.
3 Kloth, Unabhängige Archive und Materialien der Bürgerbewegungen, 930f.
4 To learn more about the approach of the Federal Government Commissioner for Culture and the Media to National Socialist and Communist memorial sites in Germany, see, http://dip21.bundestag.de/dip21/btd/16/098/1609875.pdf.
SBZ (Soviet zone of occupation) in Germany and the former East Germany. Our Foundation also seeks to testify to the injustice of the East German regime and commemorate its victims, to further the anti-totalitarian consensus within our society, and to strengthen democracy and German unity.

According to the 1998 federal law, these aims shall be fulfilled in particular by means of project-specific advancement of social reconciliation initiatives, of private archives, as well as organizations representing victims of the dictatorship in the Soviet zone of occupation and in the GDR.⁵

In order to understand, at least in quantitative terms, our Foundation’s support to archives, it is first necessary to describe briefly the Foundation’s endowment and its funding areas. Each year, the Foundation provides 2.5 million € to a diverse range of projects. To date, more than twenty two million € have been devoted to personnel and material costs associated with these projects.⁶

The Foundation possesses an endowment of approximately seventy-five million €, some of which derives from assets of the former East German communist party. The interest earnings from this endowment cover only half of the Foundation’s financial requirements. For this reason, the Foundation also receives annual support from the federal government.

The Foundation’s specific funding emphases include:

I. General programs and events supporting historical education;
II. Exhibitions, documentary films, multimedia, and other projects with public resonance;
III. Efforts supporting the collection, deposit, archiving, inventory, conservation, and indexing of documents, objects, and oral history – especially those sources testifying to resistance and opposition to the SED dictatorship, but also those sources which illustrate the structures and working methods of that dictatorship;
IV. Projects that disseminate insights drawn from historical sources in keeping with the Foundation’s mission;
V. Drafting of educational publications, based on the best available scholarship, to support educational work, especially in schools, other educational institutions, as well as museums

⁶ All sums cited herein are offered in euros to ease comparison.
and historic sites;

VI. Co-financing of scholarly research, documentation, and publication projects, as well as scholarly gatherings and efforts to support the training of young scholars (such as the annual fellowship program administered by the Foundation);

VII. The placement of historical markers at sites of supra-regional importance.  

As described above (III.), support of independent archives established by the East German civil rights movement is one of the most important pillars of the Foundation’s support program, but by no means the only one.

Besides competition among the many diverse applicants, one cannot deny the existence in the past of certain “atmospheric” tensions between applicants and funders. These tensions were not solely attributable to the different perspectives of the respective parties. Rather, the Foundation’s legal obligation to maintain an archive of its own provoked anxiety, with the concern making the rounds that the Foundation would attempt to exploit the situation to the advantage of its own archive. However, as the last ten years have proven – and on this point I believe we have reached a consensus – this fear was unjustified. The following achievements serve to underscore this assessment.

As regards support to archives, from 1998 to 2010 circa 4,700,000 € have been granted to independent archives. These funds were used to inventory collections, establish depots in keeping with archival standards, and publications. This figure equates to an annual outlay of nearly 370,000 €, or roughly 15% of the Foundation’s annual funding budget. If one focuses on those activities central to any archive, such as safe storage and cataloguing, ignoring, at least for the moment, such “discretionary” activities as public relations, the Foundation’s annual support during this period added up to circa 3,400,000 €, or 260,000 € per year. In other words, the majority of earmarked funds went to activities central to the work of any archive; that said, the need to engage in outreach has not been ignored. For several years now, the Foundation has strongly encouraged the archives it supports to communicate more extensively with the audiences they serve.
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7 More information on our funding guidelines is available at http://www.stiftung-aufarbeitung.de/foerderung/projektforderung.php.
8 Inventory projects involving museums are not included herein.
The largest recipients of the Foundation’s archival support are: the Robert Havemann Society in Berlin\(^9\) (recipient to date of 2,780,000 €), the Leipzig Archive of the Civil Rights Movement\(^10\) (recipient to date of circa 748,000 €), the Environmental Library in Grosshennersdorf\(^11\) (recipient to date of circa 315,000 €), the Thuringian Archive of Contemporary History in Jena (recipient to date of circa 190,000 €), and the Martin Luther King Jr. Center for Non-Violence and Civil Courage in Werdau (recipient to date of circa 100,000 €).\(^12\)

These figures tell only a small part of the story. The true value of the Foundation’s support becomes clearer when one considers individual projects:

- Cataloguing of the Robert Havemann Collection and attendant outreach efforts
  - Project Executing Organization: Robert Havemann Society
  - Project support of approximately 500,000 € per year (funding period: 1998 to 2006)

Robert Havemann was one of the best known East German dissidents. Sentenced to death by the People’s Court established by Adolf Hitler, in 1964 Havemann was expelled from the SED because of critical statements he made in public. Havemann remained engaged in initiatives to create democratic socialism until his death in 1982. Havemann was also one of the founders of the independent movement for peace and civil rights in the former East Germany.

The Robert Havemann Collection (circa 4 linear meters) encompasses Havemann’s official and personal correspondence. Covering the period 1930 to 1982, the files document his scientific activities, including his involvement with the Kaiser Wilhelm Institutes, the Humboldt University, and the East German Academy of Sciences. The formal collection consists of biographical documents, including notebooks, address books, and pocket diaries. It is worth noting that the

\(^9\) [www.robert-havemann-gesellschaft.de](http://www.robert-havemann-gesellschaft.de)
\(^10\) [www.archiv-buergerbewegung.de](http://www.archiv-buergerbewegung.de)
\(^11\) [www.umweltbibliothek.org](http://www.umweltbibliothek.org)
\(^12\) More detailed information is available to readers of our Foundation’s annual reports, available online [www.stiftung-aufarbeitung.de/die_stiftung/index.php](http://www.stiftung-aufarbeitung.de/die_stiftung/index.php) and at our main office in Berlin.
formal collection is supplemented by two additional repositories: a second collection of accessioned materials on Robert Havemann, as well as a collection of photocopied documents from external archives (together, these two supplementary collections encompass roughly eight linear meters). This last group of files contains copies of Gestapo and People’s Court records of proceedings against the resistance group, “European Union.”

- Preservation and cataloguing of tape recordings and documents from the Peace Seminar at Koenigswalde (1979-1990) and the Peace Prayers Werdau (1989), including a subsequent publication.

Project Executing Organization: Martin Luther King Center for Non-Violence and Civil Courage in Werdau

Project support: 36,850 € (funding period: 2003 and 2004)

In 1973, twenty-six young people held the first Christian Peace Seminar at Koenigswalde. There, the group discussed “Creating Peace Without War – What Commends It?” Since then, the peace seminars have taken place twice a year. During the early years, the question of military service, that is to say conscientious objection, was the primary focus of discussion. Later, topics such as ecological damage and justice became increasingly important. Social questions remain at the heart of the conversations to this day.

In the context of our archival consultations, the Foundation determined that the Martin Luther King Jr. Center possessed audio tape recordings of past Peace Seminars. As some of the tapes were more than twenty years old (and subsequently virtually obsolete), we encouraged our colleagues to digitize the recordings. The project yielded not only a newly-accessioned collection devoted to the Peace Seminars at Koenigswalde, but also a book and CD describing the seminars’ ministry.

---


14 [http://www.friedensseminar.de](http://www.friedensseminar.de)

Furthermore the foundations supports the establishment of an archive devoted to literature suppressed during the GDR era, founded by Professor Ines Geipel and Joachim Walther with the total amount of 316,000 € including partial funding of a temporary exhibition (funding period: 2001 to 2004). The Archive of Suppressed Literature in the GDR houses the works of more than one hundred authors denied public access for thematic, formal-aesthetic, and ultimately political reasons. In more than a few instances, repression during the East German era was not limited to a ban on publications. As this archive documents, even those who penned a few critical poems were compelled to serve prison sentences of several months.

In the various initiatives it supports, the Foundation closely monitors implementation. To ensure grantees carry out their work with a high degree of professionalism, the Foundation has long offered training seminars and individual consultations to archivists.

Taken together, the Foundation for the Reappraisal of the SED Dictatorship actively supports archives in three ways:

1.) the Foundation is a state archive which primarily houses materials not created by agencies of government;
2.) the foundation supports independent archives in the context of the GDR civil rights movement;
3.) the foundation offers archival consultation to independent archives documenting the contexts of the East German civil rights movement. In the event one of the archives supported by the Foundation were to face liquidation, the Foundation stands available as a catch basin for threatened collections.

Speaking more generally, the Foundation’s support (and co-support) for select projects advances varied perspectives on Germany’s second dictatorship.

In order to demonstrate how a peaceful revolution was possible in spite of “real existing” repression, we desperately need the archives of the East German civil rights movement. It is in the interest of society as a whole to ensure these cultural treasures (such as underground journals)\(^\text{16}\) are preserved and made available to future generations. Through the Federal Foundation for the Reappraisal of the

\(^{16}\) Worthy of mention in this context is a digitization project supported by our Foundation and the German Research Foundation (DFG): [www.ddr-samisdat.de](http://www.ddr-samisdat.de)
SED Dictatorship, Germany’s federal government is exercising this responsibility.